The present study by Dr. Agmon investigates the utility of exhaled breath analysis markers trying to take the place of RECIST based on Spiral CT. The author has answered comments from reviewer 1 and 2, but I still have following concerns:

1. As the former reviewer mentioned, sample size in present study was too small and therefore the reproducibility of the conclusion would be not solid, especially the sample were divided into NSCLC and SCLC. An independent dataset should be collected and the conclusion should be validated.
2. Research about exhaled breath in lung cancer has been published much in recent years, the innovativeness and the superiority of the study should be provided compared with previous studies in the discussion section.
3. The first sentence in Method section quoted [7, 71, and 87]. [7] is an article on Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn in 2011, but I can’t find [71] and [87]